

In class, we claimed that one (weird) way to simulate a binomial random variable with parameters n and p is to

1. Simulate a sequence $E_1, E_2 \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{exp}(\text{rate} = 1)$.
2. Return the smallest integer X such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{X+1} \frac{E_i}{n-i+1} > -\ln(1-p).$$

Proof:

Recall that if $Y \sim \text{bin}(n, p)$, the pdf for Y is

$$P(Y = y) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y} \cdot I_{\{0,1,2,\dots,n\}}(y).$$

Consider the random variable X produced by the proposed algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = 0) &= P\left(\frac{E_1}{n} > -\ln(1-p)\right) \\ &= P(E_1 > -n \ln(1-p)) \\ &= e^{-[-n \ln(1-p)]} \quad \text{since } E_1 \sim \text{exp}(\text{rate} = 1) \\ &= (1-p)^n = P(Y = 0) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = 1) &= P\left(\frac{E_1}{n} \leq -\ln(1-p), \frac{E_1}{n} + \frac{E_2}{n-1} > -\ln(1-p)\right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty P\left(\frac{E_1}{n} \leq -\ln(1-p), \frac{E_1}{n} + \frac{E_2}{n-1} > -\ln(1-p) \mid E_1 = x\right) \cdot e^{-x} dx \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in x for E_2 , and using the fact that E_1 and E_2 are independent (so that we may drop the conditional probability when calculating a probability involving E_2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = 1) &= \int_0^\infty P\left(\frac{x}{n} \leq -\ln(1-p), \frac{x}{n} + \frac{E_2}{n-1} > -\ln(1-p)\right) \cdot e^{-x} dx \\ &= \int_0^{-n \ln(1-p)} P(E_2 > (n-1)[- \ln(1-p) - \frac{x}{n}]) \cdot e^{-x} dx \\ &= \int_0^{-n \ln(1-p)} e^{-(n-1)[- \ln(1-p) - \frac{x}{n}]} \cdot e^{-x} dx \\ &= (1-p)^{n-1} \int_0^{-n \ln(1-p)} e^{-x/n} dx \\ &= (1-p)^{n-1} \left[-ne^{-x/n}\right]_0^{-n \ln(1-p)} = np(1-p)^{n-1} = P(Y = 1) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we get $P(X = k) = P(Y = k)$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. (We can formally show this by conditioning on k variables and using convolution densities.)

Note that the summand is undefined for $X = n$, however, for $X > n$, the sum given in the algorithm starts decreasing since the denominator of the summand becomes negative. So, if we do not exceed $-\ln(1 - p)$ for $X = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, we will never exceed it for $X = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$. We are forced to put the remaining mass on n . That is,

$$P(X = n) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P(X = k) = p^n.$$