

## Applied Analysis (APPM 5450): Final – Solutions

**Problem 1:** No motivation required for these questions. 2p each.

- (a) State Hölder's inequality.
- (b) Define what it means for a sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of Schwartz functions to converge in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (c) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Define what it means for  $A_n$  to converge *strongly* to some operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .
- (d) Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For which numbers  $p$  in the interval  $[1, \infty]$  is it necessarily the case that  $(L^p(X, \mu))^* = L^q(X, \mu)$ , where  $q$  is such that  $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$ . For which numbers  $p$  is  $L^p(X, \mu)$  necessarily reflexive?
- (e) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $A$  be a linear bounded operator on  $H$ . Give a formula that relates the range of  $A$  to the kernel of  $A^*$ .
- (f) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a self-adjoint operator. Let  $H_1$  be an invariant subspace of  $A$ . Is  $H_1^\perp$  necessarily an invariant subspace of  $A$ ? Is  $H_1^\perp$  necessarily an invariant subspace of  $A$  if  $A$  is skew-adjoint instead of self-adjoint?
- (g) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be self-adjoint and compact. What can you say about  $\sigma_c(A)$ ?

---

(a) Let  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  be such that  $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$ , let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space, let  $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ , and let  $g \in L^q(X, \mu)$ . Then  $fg \in L^1(X, \mu)$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ .

(b)  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  if for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{\alpha, k} = 0$ , where  $\|\varphi\|_{\alpha, k} = \sup_x (1 + |x|^2)^{k/2} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|$ .

(c)  $A_n \rightarrow A$  strongly if for every  $x \in H$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n x - A x\| = 0$ .

(d) If  $p \in [1, \infty)$  then  $(L^p)^*$  necessarily equals  $L^q$ . If  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , then  $L^p$  is necessarily reflexive.

(e)  $\overline{\text{ran}(A)} = (\ker(A^*))^\perp$

(f) Yes, and yes.

(g) Either  $\sigma_c(A) = \{0\}$  or  $\sigma_c(A) = \emptyset$ .

**Problem 2:** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be an operator such that  $P^2 = P$ . Prove that the statements (S1) and (S2) given below are equivalent: (4p)

(S1):  $(\text{ran}(P))^\perp = \ker(P)$ .

(S2):  $\langle Px, y \rangle = \langle x, Py \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .

---

(S1)  $\Rightarrow$  (S2): Assume that (S1) holds. First note that for any  $z \in H$ , we have  $(I - P)z \in \ker(P)$  since  $P(I - P)z = Pz - P^2z = Pz - Pz = 0$ . Then, for  $x, y \in H$

$$\langle Px, y \rangle = \langle Px, Py + (I - P)y \rangle = \langle Px, Py \rangle + \langle Px, (I - P)y \rangle = \langle Px, Py \rangle.$$

The last equality used that  $Px \in \text{ran}(P)$ , that  $(I - P)y \in \ker(P)$  and assumption (S1). Analogously

$$\langle x, Py \rangle = \dots = \langle Px, Py \rangle,$$

and so  $\langle Px, y \rangle = \langle x, Py \rangle$ .

(S2)  $\Rightarrow$  (S1): Assume that (S2) is true. Then

$$x \in \ker(P) \Leftrightarrow \langle Px, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \Leftrightarrow \langle x, Py \rangle = 0 \forall y \Leftrightarrow x \in (\text{ran}(P))^\perp.$$

**Problem 3:** Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})^*$  denote the Dirac delta-function as usual, let  $\delta'$  denote the distributional derivative of  $\delta$ , and define for a positive integer  $n$  the distribution  $T_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})^*$  by  $T_n(x) = \sin(nx) \delta'(x)$ .

(a) Calculate the Fourier transform  $\hat{T}_n$  of  $T_n$ . (2p)

(b) Does the sequence  $(\hat{T}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converge in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})^*$ ? (2p)

*Hint:* You may want to start by simplifying the expression for  $T_n$ .

First we simplify the expression for  $T_n$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_n, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \sin(nx) \delta', \varphi \rangle = \langle \delta', \sin(nx) \varphi \rangle = -\langle \delta, \partial(\sin(nx) \varphi) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \delta, n \cos(nx) \varphi + \sin(nx) \varphi' \rangle = -n \cos(0) \varphi(0) - \sin(n) \varphi'(0) = -n \varphi(0). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $T_n = -n \delta$ .

(a) Since  $\hat{\delta} = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , we find that  $\hat{T}_n = -n/\sqrt{2\pi}$ .

(b) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\langle \hat{T}_n, \varphi \rangle = \left\langle -\frac{n}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \varphi \right\rangle = -\frac{n}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx.$$

When  $\int \varphi \neq 0$ , we have  $\langle \hat{T}_n, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , so  $\hat{T}_n$  cannot converge.

**Problem 4:** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , let  $g$  be a function in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be measurable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x)| \leq g(x), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Set  $h_N = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n$ . Prove that the sequence  $(h_N)_{N=1}^\infty$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . (5p)

---

Set  $\Omega_1 = \{x : |g(x)| < \infty\}$ . Then  $\mu(\Omega_1^c) = 0$ , since  $g \in L^p$ .

Set  $\Omega_2 = \{x : \sum |f_n(x)| < |g(x)|\}$ , then  $\mu(\Omega_2^c) = 0$  by assumption.

Set  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ . Then  $\mu(\Omega^c) \leq \mu(\Omega_1^c) + \mu(\Omega_2^c) = 0$ .

For  $x \in \Omega$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |f_n(x)| < \infty$ , so we the following formula defines a finite valued function:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \Omega^c. \end{cases}$$

It follows immediately that  $|h(x)| \leq g(x)$  for all  $x$ , and so  $h \in L^p$ .

We will prove that  $\|h - h_N\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$\|h - h_N\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| h(x) - \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) \right|^p dx.$$

Note that

$$(a) \quad \left| h(x) - \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) \right|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{pointwise,}$$

and that

$$(b) \quad \left| h(x) - \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) \right|^p \leq \left( |h(x)| + \sum_{n=1}^N |f_n(x)| \right)^p \leq (2g(x))^p = 2^p g(x)^p. \text{ Since}$$

$g \in L^p$ , we know that  $\int 2^p g^p < \infty$ , and so in light of (a) and (b), we can invoke the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|h - h_N\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| h(x) - \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) \right|^p dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 0 dx = 0.$$

**Problem 5:** Consider the Hilbert space  $H = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , let  $a \in (0, \pi)$  be a real number, and define the operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  by

$$[Tu](x) = \frac{1}{2}(u(x-a) + u(a-x)).$$

- (a) Construct  $T^*$  and indicate whether  $T$  is self-adjoint. (2p)
- (b) Prove that  $T$  is not unitary. Is  $T$  normal? (2p)
- (c) Specify infinite dimensional subspaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $H$  such that the map  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a unitary operator. (2p)
- (d) Let  $\mathcal{F} : H \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  denote the Fourier transform. Determine the operator  $\hat{T} : l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  given by  $\hat{T} = \mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ . (2p)
- (e) Determine  $\sigma(T)$ . As far as you can, classify the different parts of the spectrum as belonging to the point, continuous, or residual spectrum. (3p)

First note that  $T = S_a P$ , where

$$[Pu](x) = \frac{1}{2}(u(x) + u(-x)), \quad \text{and} \quad [S_a u](x) = u(x-a).$$

(In other words,  $P$  is the orthogonal projection onto the even functions, and  $S_a$  is a simple shift operator.)

- (a)  $T^* = (S_a P)^* = P^* S_a^* = P S_{-a}$ . In other words,

$$[T^*u](x) = \frac{1}{2}(u(x+a) + u(a-x)).$$

We see that  $T$  is not self-adjoint.

- (b)  $T^*T = P S_{-a} S_a P = P P = P$  and  $TT^* = S_a P P S_{-a} = S_a P S_{-a}$  so  $T$  is neither unitary nor normal. (Note that  $[S_a P S_{-a} u](x) = \frac{1}{2}u(x) + \frac{1}{2}u(-x+2a)$ .)

- (c) Let  $H_1$  denote the subspace of even functions, and let  $H_2$  denote the space of functions that are even around the point  $x = a$  (so that  $f \in H_2 \Leftrightarrow f(a-x) = f(a+x)$  for all  $x$ ). Then  $T|_{H_1} = S_a$  which is clearly unitary.

- (d) Let  $\alpha_n$  denote the Fourier coefficients of a function  $u$ , and set  $v = Tu$ . Then we calculate Fourier coefficients  $\gamma_n$  of  $v$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-inx} \frac{1}{2}(u(x-a) + u(a-x)) dx \\ &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-in(y+a)} \frac{1}{2}u(y) dy + \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-in(a-y)} \frac{1}{2}u(y) dy = e^{-ina} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_n + \alpha_{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\hat{T} : (\alpha_n) \mapsto (\gamma_n)$  where  $\gamma_n = e^{-ina}(\alpha_n + \alpha_{-n})/2$ .

(e) Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a unitary map, the spectrum of  $T$  is identical to the spectrum of  $\hat{T}$ . We can therefore answer the question by determining the spectrum of  $\hat{T}$ .

Recall that a number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  belongs to  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  if the operator  $\hat{T} - \lambda I$  does not have a bounded inverse. We therefore consider the equation

$$(1) \quad (\hat{T} - \lambda I) \alpha = \gamma.$$

Setting  $\mu = e^{-ina}$ , we write equation (1) componentwise as

$$(2) \quad (1 - \lambda) \alpha_0 = \gamma_0,$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\mu - \lambda & \frac{1}{2}\mu \\ \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu} & \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_n \\ \alpha_{-n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_n \\ \gamma_{-n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \neq 0.$$

**Case 1 -  $\lambda = 1$ :** In this case, equation (2) does not have a solution. In fact, if  $v$  is any constant vector, then  $Tv = v$ , so  $1 \in \sigma_p(T)$ .

**Case 2 -  $\lambda = 0$ :** In this case, equation (3) is singular. In fact, if  $v$  is an odd function (so that  $\alpha_n = -\alpha_{-n}$ ) then  $Tv = 0$ , so  $0 \in \sigma_p(T)$ .

**Case 3 -  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ :** For this case, equation (2) is invertible, and (3) is invertible if and only if

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{1}{2}\mu - \lambda\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu} - \lambda\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mu\bar{\mu}.$$

Simplifying, we obtain the equation

$$0 \neq \lambda \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \bar{\mu})\right).$$

We find that (3) is singular if  $\lambda = 0$  or if

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \bar{\mu}) = \cos(na).$$

The eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda = \cos(na)$  is

$$v_n = \alpha_n e^{inx} + \alpha_{-n} e^{-inx} = \mu e^{inx} + \bar{\mu} e^{-inx} = e^{in(x-a)} + e^{-in(x-a)} = 2 \cos(nx - na).$$

Thus  $\sigma_p(T) = \{0\} \cup \{1\} \cup \{\cos(na)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

*Remark: If you got this far, you got full credit.*

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is a number such that  $\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma_p(T)) > 0$ , then the system (2,3) is boundedly invertible, so  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ . In contrast, if  $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma_p(T)}$  then  $\hat{T} - \lambda I$  is injective, but not boundedly invertible. In fact, if  $\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\|(T - \lambda I)v_{n_j}\| = \|(\lambda_{n_j} - \lambda)v_{n_j}\| \rightarrow 0$  so  $\lambda \in \sigma_c(T)$ .

To summarize:

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{0\} \cup \{1\} \cup \{\cos(na)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\sigma_c(T) = \overline{\sigma_p(T)} \setminus \sigma_p(T)$$

$$\sigma_r(T) = \emptyset$$

**Remark 1:** If  $a/\pi$  is a rational number, then  $\sigma_p(T)$  is finite, and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T)$ .

**Remark 2:** Since  $T$  is not normal, its eigenvalue decomposition is not of much value. Of more interest is the decomposition  $T = S_a P$ . It is an analogue of the singular value decomposition of  $T$  and specifies exactly the action of  $T$ , its null-space, its range, and so on.