

Applied Analysis (APPM 5450): Midterm 2 — Solutions

11.35am – 12.50pm, Mar 19, 2008. Closed books.

Problem 1: Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces, and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H_1)$. Suppose further that $U \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ is a unitary map.

(a) Define the following sets: $\rho(A)$, $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma_p(A)$, $\sigma_c(A)$, $\sigma_r(A)$. (4p)

(b) Prove that if $\lambda \in \sigma_r(A)$, then $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(A^*)$. (3p)

(c) Define the operator $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{B}(H_2)$ by $\hat{A} = U A U^{-1}$. Prove that $\sigma_p(A) = \sigma_p(\hat{A})$. (2p)

(d) Define the operator $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{B}(H_2)$ by $\hat{A} = U A U^{-1}$. Prove that $\sigma_c(A) = \sigma_c(\hat{A})$. (2p)

Solution:

(a) See the text book — Definitions 9.3 and 9.4.

(b) See the text book — Proposition 9.12.

(c) Note that

$$(1) \quad \hat{A} - \lambda I = U A U^{-1} - \lambda U U^{-1} = U (A - \lambda I) U^{-1}.$$

Since U and U^{-1} are both one-to-one, it follows that:

$$\lambda \notin \sigma_p(A) \Leftrightarrow \ker(A - \lambda I) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \ker(\hat{A} - \lambda I) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \lambda \notin \sigma_p(\hat{A})$$

(d) Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$. We will prove that then $\lambda \in \sigma_c(\hat{A})$.

Since $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$, we know that $A - \lambda I$ is one-to-one. That $\hat{A} - \lambda I$ is one-to-one then follows from (1) and the fact that U and U^{-1} are one-to-one.

To prove that $\text{ran}(\hat{A} - \lambda I)$ is dense in H_2 , pick any $\hat{x} \in H_2$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $x = U^{-1} \hat{x}$. Since $\text{ran}(A - \lambda I)$ is dense in H_1 , there exists a $z \in H_1$ such that $\|(A - \lambda I)z - x\| < \varepsilon$. Set $\hat{z} = Uz$. Then

$$\|(\hat{A} - \lambda I)\hat{z} - \hat{x}\| = \|U(A - \lambda I)U^{-1}\hat{z} - Ux\| = \|U((A - \lambda I)z - x)\| = \|(A - \lambda I)z - x\| < \varepsilon.$$

We have proved that $\sigma_c(A) \subseteq \sigma_c(\hat{A})$. The proof that $\sigma_c(\hat{A}) \subseteq \sigma_c(A)$ is analogous.

Problem 2: Let $\delta \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Dirac δ -function. Define $T \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R})$ via $T(x) = \sin(nx) \delta'(x)$ where n is an integer, and define $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ via $\varphi(x) = (A + Bx) e^{-x^2}$ where A and B are real numbers. Evaluate $\langle \delta', \varphi \rangle$ and $\langle T, \varphi \rangle$. (5p)

Solution:

$$\langle \delta', \varphi \rangle = -\langle \delta, \varphi' \rangle = -\varphi'(0) = -B.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \sin(nx) \delta', \varphi \rangle = \langle \delta', \sin(nx) \varphi \rangle = -\langle \delta, \frac{d}{dx}(\sin(nx) \varphi) \rangle = \\ &= -\langle \delta, n \cos(nx) \varphi + \sin(nx) \varphi' \rangle = -n \varphi(0) = -nA. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3: Set $H = L^2(I)$ where $I = [-1, 1]$ and let ψ be the function

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & x = -1 \\ 1+x & x \in (-1, 0) \\ 1 & x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Define $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $[Au](x) = \psi(x)u(x)$. Draw a graph of ψ . Determine $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma_p(A)$, $\sigma_c(A)$, and $\sigma_r(A)$. No motivation required. (8p)

Solution: The answer is:

$$\sigma(A) = [0, 1]$$

$$\sigma_p(A) = \{1\}$$

$$\sigma_c(A) = [1, 0)$$

$$\sigma_r(A) = \emptyset$$

A (non-required) motivation:

If $\lambda \notin [0, 1]$, then the operator T defined by $[Tu](x) = \frac{1}{\psi(x)-\lambda}u(x)$ is a bounded linear operator that is the inverse of $A - \lambda I$. (Note that it does not matter that $1/(\psi(x) + 1)$ blows up at a single point when $\lambda = -1$ since an L^2 function does not change when its value is changed at a single point.) It follows that $\sigma(A) \subseteq [0, 1]$.

Next we determine $\sigma_p(A)$. If u satisfies the equation $(A - \lambda I)u = 0$, then

$$(2) \quad (\psi(x) - \lambda)u(x) = 0.$$

If $\lambda \neq 1$, then (2) implies that $u = 0$ (except for possibly at a single point, but again, this does not change an L^2 function) so $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(A)$. If $\lambda = 1$, then any function that is supported in the interval $[0, 1]$ satisfies (2). It follows that $\sigma_p(A) = \{1\}$.

We will finally prove that if $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$. We have already proven that then $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(A)$ so $A - \lambda I$ is one-to-one. To see that $A - \lambda I$ is not onto, simply note that the constant function 1 belongs to $L^2(I)$, but the equation $(\psi(x) - \lambda)u(x) = 1$ does not have a solution $u \in L^2(I)$. To finally prove that $(A - \lambda I)$ is dense, note that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $H_\varepsilon = \{u \in H : u(x) = 0 \text{ when } |x - \lambda| \leq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to $\text{ran}(A - \lambda I)$, and that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{1/n}} = L^2(I).$$

Problem 4: Let A be a bounded self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space A . Consider the following statements:

- (a) If $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, then the imaginary part of λ is zero.
- (b) The residual spectrum of A is empty.
- (c) If M is an invariant subspace of A , then so is M^\perp .
- (d) The continuous spectrum of A is either empty or consists of the single point 0.
- (e) $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|$.
- (f) If λ and μ are two different eigenvalues of A , then $\ker(A - \lambda I) \subseteq (\ker(A - \mu I))^\perp$.

For each of the six statements, mark whether it is true or false. (2p) for each correct answer.

Extra credit: Pick at most two of the statements (4a) – (4f) and either prove them, or give a counterexample. (2p) for each correct proof/counterexample.

Solution:

- (a) TRUE. See Lemma 9.13 in the book for the proof.
- (b) TRUE. If $\lambda \in \sigma_r(A)$, then (see Problem 1b!) $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(A^*) = \sigma_p(A)$. Since λ must be real, it follows that λ must belong to both $\sigma_r(A)$ and $\sigma_p(A)$ which is impossible.
- (c) TRUE. Suppose that M is an invariant subspace and that $x \in M^\perp$. We need to prove that $Ax \in M^\perp$ which is the same as saying that $\langle Ax, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in M$. But this must be the case since
 - (i) $\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$
 - (ii) $Ay \in M$
 - (iii) $x \in M^\perp$.
- (d) FALSE. The operator A in Problem 3 is one counterexample. (Note that if A is self-adjoint and compact, then (d) would be true.)
- (e) TRUE. See Lemma 8.26 in the book.
- (f) TRUE. Suppose that λ and μ are two different eigenvalues, that $u \in \ker(A - \lambda I)$, and that $v \in \ker(A - \mu I)$. Then $Au = \lambda u$ and $Av = \mu v$. Noting that both λ and μ must be real, we find that

$$\lambda \langle u, v \rangle = \langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, Av \rangle = \langle u, \mu v \rangle = \mu \langle u, v \rangle.$$

It follows that $(\lambda - \mu) \langle u, v \rangle = 0$, and since $\lambda \neq \mu$, we must have $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$.

Problem 5: Consider the map $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined via $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) dx$.

(a) Prove that T is continuous. (4p)

(b) Prove that T' is given by $\langle T', \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(- \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx + \frac{2\varphi(0)}{\varepsilon} \right)$. (4p)

Solution:

(a) First we reformulate the definition of T :

$$(3) \quad \langle T, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)}{x} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)}{x} dx.$$

Next note that

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)}{x} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{x} \int_{-x}^x \varphi'(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{-x}^x |\varphi'(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{|x|} 2|x| \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} = 2\|\varphi'\|_{1,0},$$

and that, when $x \geq 1$,

$$(5) \quad \left| \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)}{x} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|x|} (|\varphi(x)| + |\varphi(-x)|) = \frac{1}{x^2} (|x\varphi(x)| + |x\varphi(-x)|) \leq \frac{1}{x^2} 2\|\varphi\|_{0,1}.$$

Combining (3), (4), and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)}{x} \right| dx + \int_1^{\infty} \left| \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)}{x} \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 2\|\varphi'\|_{1,0} dx + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} 2\|\varphi\|_{0,1} dx = 2(\|\varphi'\|_{1,0} + \|\varphi\|_{0,1}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Using the definition of a distributional derivative and partial integration we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T', \varphi \rangle &= -\langle T, \varphi' \rangle = -\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} \varphi'(x) dx + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \varphi'(x) dx \right) \\ &= -\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\left[\frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx + \left[\frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) \right]_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx \right) \\ &= -\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\frac{\varphi(-\varepsilon)}{-\varepsilon} + \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx - \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx \right) \\ &= -\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi(x) dx - \frac{2\varphi(0)}{\varepsilon} \right) \end{aligned}$$