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## Motivation: Why approximate the spectral norm?

Spectral norms can be used as an error estimator when trying to approximate matrices.

## Remark 2.1 (Martinson et. al., 2020)

For example, let us consider a variant of the spiked covariance model that is common in statistics applications. Suppose we need to approximate a rank-one matrix contaminated with additive noise: $A=\vec{u} \vec{u}^{*}+\epsilon \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, where $\|\vec{u}\|=1$ and $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has independent entries from $\mathcal{N}\left(0, n^{-1}\right)$ entries. With respect to the Frobenius norm, the zero matrix is almost as good an approximation of $A$ as the rank-one matrix $u u^{*}$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|A-\vec{u} \vec{u}^{*}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right]=\varepsilon^{2} n \text { and } \mathbb{E}\left[\|A-0\|_{F}^{2}\right]=\varepsilon^{2} n
$$

## An Existing Approach: Power Method

## Liberty et. al., (2007)

Suppose $A$ is an $m \times n$ complex-valued matrix and $\vec{\omega}$ is a $n \times 1$ column vector with i.i.d. entries from a complex gaussian distribution. With $\vec{\nu}=\frac{\vec{\omega}}{\|\vec{\omega}\|_{2}}$, we define

$$
p_{j}(A)=\sqrt{\frac{\left\|\left(A^{*} A\right)^{j} \vec{\nu}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|\left(A^{*} A\right)^{j-1} \vec{\nu}\right\|_{2}}} .
$$

Then $p_{j}(A) \geq\|A\| / 10$ with probability greater than $1-4 \sqrt{n /(j-1)} 100^{-j}$, and $p_{j}(A) \leq\|A\|$ for all $j$.

## Streaming Data

Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of data, and suppose we went to append it with an $m \times k$ dataset $B$.

$$
C=[A \mid B]=\left[A \mid 0_{m \times k}\right]+\left[0_{m \times n} \mid B\right]=A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}
$$

By writing $C=A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$ as above, we run into a potential storage issue. We must store both the old data $A$ and new data $B$ in order to calculate the spectral norm approximation:

$$
p_{j}(C)=\sqrt{\frac{\left\|\left(\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)\right)^{j} \vec{\nu}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|\left(\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)\right)^{j-1} \vec{\nu}\right\|_{2}}}
$$

## A Different Approach:

## Lemma 4.1 (Halko et. al., 2011)

Let $A$ be a real $m \times n$ matrix. Fix a positive integer $r$ and a real number $\alpha>1$. Draw an independent family $\left\{\vec{\omega}_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$ of standard Gaussian vectors. Then

$$
\|A\| \leq \alpha \max _{i=1,2, \ldots, N}\left\|A \vec{\omega}_{i}\right\|
$$

except with probability $\alpha^{-N}$

## Efficient Storage for Streaming Data

Let $\Omega_{A}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\vec{\omega}_{1} & \vec{\omega}_{2} & \ldots & \vec{\omega}_{N}\end{array}\right]$ be an $n \times N$ matrix whose columns are independent standard Gaussian vectors, and define

$$
Y_{A}=A \Omega_{A}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A \vec{\omega}_{1} & A \vec{\omega}_{2} & \ldots & A \vec{\omega}_{N}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

To achieve the bound on the previous slide, calculate $\max _{i=1,2, \ldots, N}\left\|A \vec{\omega}_{i}\right\|$
Suppose now that we append the $m \times k$ matrix $B$ to $A$ to get $C=[A \mid B]$. We let $\Omega_{B}$ be a $k \times N$ matrix whose columns are independent standard Gaussian vectors, and define $\Omega_{C}=\left[\frac{\Omega_{A}}{\Omega_{B}}\right]$. Then

$$
Y_{C}=C \Omega_{C}=[A \mid B]\left[\frac{\Omega_{A}}{\Omega_{B}}\right]=A \Omega_{A}+B \Omega_{B}=Y_{A}+B \Omega_{B},
$$

implying that we only need to calculate $B \Omega_{B}$ after storing the $m \times N$ matrix $Y_{A}$.

## Frobenius Norm is off by factor of $r^{1 / 2}$

We can write the Frobenius norm as the $\ell_{2}$-norm of the singular values: $\|A\|_{F}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}}$. Using this and the fact that the spectral norm of $A$ is the largest singular value of $A$, we have

$$
\|A\| \leq\|A\|_{F} \leq r^{1 / 2}\|A\|
$$

since

$$
\sigma_{\max } \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_{r}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq r^{1 / 2} \sigma_{\max } .
$$

This tells us that the Frobenius norm can be off from the spectral norm by a factor of $r^{1 / 2}$.

## Estimate is greater than Frobenius norm

We show $\mathbb{E}\left[\|A \vec{\omega}\|^{2}\right]=\|A\|_{F}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\|A \vec{\omega}\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\vec{\omega}^{T} A^{T} A \vec{\omega}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\vec{\omega}^{T} A^{T} A \vec{\omega}\right]\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\vec{\omega}^{T} A^{T} A \vec{\omega}\right)\right] \\
&=\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{T} A \vec{\omega} \vec{\omega}^{T}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[A^{T} A \vec{\omega} \vec{\omega}^{T}\right]\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{T} A \mathbb{E}\left[\vec{\omega} \vec{\omega}^{T}\right]\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{T} A\right)=\|A\|_{F}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analyzing the bound given by Halko et. al. (2011), we see

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\max _{i=1,2, \ldots, N}\left\|A \vec{\omega}_{i}\right\|^{2}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|A \vec{\omega}_{i}\right\|^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|A \vec{\omega}_{i}\right\|^{2}\right]=\|A\|_{F}^{2}
$$

## Plot of Error



## The $\ell_{4}$ norm is better

By the same arguement as before,

$$
\|A\| \leq\|\vec{\sigma}\|_{4} \leq r^{1 / 4}\|A\| .
$$

One idea is to approximate the $\ell_{4}$-norm of the singular values since this is a tighter bound.

Let $\vec{\omega}, \vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}\left(0, I_{r}\right)$ be independent gaussian random vectors. Define the random variable $X=(A \vec{\omega})^{T} A \vec{\nu}$. We will show $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]=\|\vec{\sigma}\|_{4}^{4}$

## WLOG, use diagonal matrices

Let $A=U \Sigma V^{T}$ be the singular value composition of our $m \times n$ matrix $A$. By orthogonality,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left((A \vec{\omega})^{T} A \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\vec{\omega}^{T} A^{T} A \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\vec{\omega}^{T} V \Sigma U^{T} U \Sigma V^{T} \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right] \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\vec{\omega}^{T} V \Sigma^{2} V^{T} \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(V^{T} \vec{\omega}\right)^{T} \Sigma^{2} V^{T} \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\vec{\omega}, \vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}\left(0, I_{n}\right)$, we have that $V^{T} \vec{\omega}, V^{T} \vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}\left(0, V^{T} V\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(0, I_{n}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left((A \vec{\omega})^{T} A \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left((\Sigma \omega)^{T} \Sigma \nu\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Furthermore, since $\Sigma$ only has $r$ non-zero values along it's diagonal, without loss of generality, we can let $\Sigma$ be an $r \times r$ diagonal matrix from here on and have $\vec{\omega}, \vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{N}\left(0, I_{r}\right)$, and later on we will asume $A$ to be the same.

## Calculating the $\ell_{4}$ norm:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(\sum \vec{\omega}\right)^{T} \sum \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_{j}^{2} \omega_{j} \nu_{j}\right)^{2}\right] \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{j}^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} \omega_{j} \omega_{k} \nu_{j} \nu_{k}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{j}^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\omega_{j} \omega_{k} \nu_{j} \nu_{k}\right] \\
= \\
\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{j}^{2} \sigma_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\omega_{j} \omega_{k}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\nu_{j} \nu_{k}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_{j}^{4}=\|\vec{\sigma}\|_{4}^{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Practically speaking, we draw random vectors from a Gaussian distribution to create a sample mean to approximate $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]$. Thus, we would like to show that the difference $\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j}^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]\right|$ is small with high probability.

## Sub-Weibull Random Variables

We define $X$ to be sub-Weibull random variable with tail parameter $\theta$ if

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq x) \leq a \exp \left(-b x^{1 / \theta}\right) \text { for all } x>0, \text { for some } \theta, a, b>0
$$

Equivalently, a random variable is a sub-Weibull with tail parameter $\theta$ if there exists some constant $K_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\|X\|_{p}:=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p}\right]\right)^{1 / p} \leq K_{2} p^{\theta}
$$

for all $p \geq 1$.

## Examples

Sub-Gaussian random variables have $\theta=1 / 2$
Sub-Exponential have $\theta=1$

## $X=(D \vec{\omega})^{T} D \vec{\nu}$ is sub-exponential

Let $A$ be a diagonal $r \times r$ matrix with positive diagonal entries $\sigma_{i}$, and let $\omega_{i}, \nu_{i} \in \mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Since $\omega_{i}, \nu_{i}$ are sub-Gaussian, there exists a constant $k$ such that for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{p} \leq k p^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is a norm, we can use the triangle inequality on $X$ :

$$
\|X\|_{p}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2} \omega_{i} \nu_{i}\right\|_{p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}\left\|\omega_{i} \nu_{i}\right\|_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\omega_{i}\right|^{p}\left|\nu_{i}\right|^{p}\right]\right)^{1 / p}
$$

By independence, the above equals

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\omega_{i}\right|^{p}\right]\right)^{1 / p}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nu_{i}\right|^{p}\right]\right)^{1 / p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}\left(k p^{1 / 2}\right)\left(k p^{1 / 2}\right)=k^{2} p\|A\|_{F}^{2}
$$

## We care about $X^{2}$, but there's a problem

$X^{2}=\left((D \vec{\omega})^{T} D \vec{\nu}\right)^{2}$ is sub-Weibull with parameter $\theta=2$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|X^{2}\right\|_{p}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{2}\right| p\right]\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2 p}\right]\right)^{1 / 2 p}\right)^{2}=\left(\|X\|_{2 p}\right)^{2} \\
\leq\left(\|A\|_{F}^{2} k^{2}(2 p)\right)^{2}=4 k^{4}\|A\|_{F}^{4} p^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We would like to use concentration properties of sub-Weibull random variables to show the difference $\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j}^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]\right|$ is small with high probability.

## Sub-Weibull Theorems

## Corollay 3.1 (Vladimirova et. al., 2020)

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be identically distributed sub-Weibull random variables with tail parameter $\theta$. Then, for all $x \geq N K_{\theta}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}\right| \geq x\right) \leq \exp \left(-\left(\frac{x}{N K_{\theta}}\right)\right)
$$

for some constant $K_{\theta}$ dependent on $\theta$.
The problem is that for our situation, $K_{\theta}$ is proportional to $1 / N$.

## Sub-Weibull theorems

## Theorem 3.1 (Kuchibhotla et. al., 2022)

If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent mean zero random variables with $\left\|X_{i}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}<\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and some $\alpha>0$, then for any vector $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} X_{i}\right| \geq 2 e C(\alpha)\|b\|_{2} \sqrt{t}+2 e L_{n}^{*}(\alpha) t^{1 / \alpha}\|b\|_{\beta(\alpha)}\right) \leq 2 e^{-t}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $b=\left(a_{1}\left\|X_{1}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}, \ldots, a_{n}\left\|X_{n}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## Another attempt

## Theorem:

Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ real-valued matrix with rank $r>16$. Draw $\vec{\omega}_{i}$ and $\vec{\nu}_{i}$ independently from $\mathcal{N}\left(0, I_{n}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. If we define $X_{i}=\left(A \vec{\omega}_{i}\right)^{T} A \vec{\nu}_{i}$, then there exists a constant $K>0$ such that for any $t>0$,

$$
\left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\right| X_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}-\|A\| \right\rvert\, \leq\left(r^{1 / 4}-1\right)\|A\|+t
$$

with probability greater than $1-2 \exp \left(-\frac{N t^{2}}{K r\|A\|^{2}}\right)$.
This theorem is far from ideal.
If $\|A\| \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|X_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}$, we have that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|X_{i}\right|^{1 / 2} \leq r^{1 / 4}\|A\|+t$ and is actually a slightly better approximation than our estimator $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2}$. However, it is not guaranteed that $\|A\| \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|X_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}$.

## (Proof) Concave Jensen

We use the concave version of Jensen's inequality:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2 / 4}\right] \leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 4}=\|\vec{\sigma}\|_{4}
$$

If $\|A\| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]-\|A\| \leq r^{1 / 4}\|A\|-\|A\|=\left(r^{1 / 4}-1\right)\|A\|
$$

and if $\|A\| \geq \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]$,

$$
\|A\|-\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right] \leq\|A\| \leq\left(r^{1 / 4}-1\right)\|A\|
$$

Thus we have a bound on the absolute value of the error.

## (Proof) $X^{1 / 2}$ is sub-Gaussian

The advantage of using $|X|^{1 / 2}$ is that it is sub-Gaussian with constant proportional to $\|A\|_{F}$. Using Jensen's inequality again, we see

$$
\left\||X|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p / 2}\right]\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{p}\right]\right)^{1 / p}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\|X\|_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq k\|A\|_{F} p^{1 / 2}
$$

Thus, we will apply general Hoeffding's inequality to show $\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]$ can be closely approximated by $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|X_{j}\right|^{1 / 2}$ with high probability.

## (Proof) General Hoeffding's Inequality

Given a random variable $X$, we define the sub-Gaussian norm of $X$ to be

$$
\|X\|_{\psi_{2}}=\inf \left\{t>0: \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(X^{2} / t^{2}\right) \leq 2\right]\right.
$$

## General Hoeffding's Inequality (Vershynin, 2018)

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{N}$ be independent, mean zero, sub-gaussian random variables, and $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then for every $t \geq 0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} X_{j}\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{c t^{2}}{K^{2}\|a\|_{2}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $K=\max _{j}\left\|X_{j}\right\|_{\psi_{2}}$

## (Proof) Applying Hoeffding

Using the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\||X|^{1 / 2}-\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]\right\|_{p} \leq\left\||X|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]\right\|_{p} \leq k\|A\|_{F} p^{1 / 2}+\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right] \\
\leq k\|A\|_{F} p^{1 / 2}+r^{1 / 4}\|A\| p^{1 / 2} \leq r^{1 / 2}(k+1)\|A\| p^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can assert that $\left\||X|^{1 / 2}-\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]\right\|_{\psi_{2}}=C r^{1 / 2}(k+1)\|A\|$ for some constant $C>0$.

## Applying Hoeffding

This lets us apply Hoeffding to the subgaussian random variables $\tilde{X}_{j}=\left|X_{j}\right|^{1 / 2}-\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]$ with $a_{j}=1 / N$ for all $j$ and $K=C^{2}(k+1)^{2} / c$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\right| X_{j}\right|^{1 / 2}-\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right] \right\rvert\, \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{N t^{2}}{K r\|A\|^{2}}\right)
$$

## (Proof) Conclusion

Finally, by the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\right| X_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}-\|A\|\left|\leq\left|\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right]-\|A\|\right|+\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\right| X_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}-\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1 / 2}\right] \right\rvert\, \\
\leq\left(r^{1 / 4}-1\right)\|A\|+t
\end{gathered}
$$

with probability greater than $1-2 \exp \left(-\frac{N t^{2}}{K r\|A\|^{2}}\right)$.

## Conclusion

## Fixed N=10 Samples
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