

Corollary Let \mathcal{X} be a NLS

- (a) If $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\exists \varphi \in \mathcal{X}^*$ s.t. $\|\varphi\|=1$ & $\varphi(x)=\|x\|$
- (b) $\|x\| = \sup_{\|\varphi\|=1} |\varphi(x)|$
- (c) The bounded linear functionals separate points.
(in other words, given $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $x \neq y$
 $\exists \varphi \in \mathcal{X}^*$ s.t. $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(y)$.)
- (d) For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, define an element $F_x \in \mathcal{X}^{**}$ by setting
 $F_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$ (note that $F_x : \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$).

The map $x \mapsto F_x$ is a linear isometry $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{**}$

Proof: (a) Set $\mathcal{Y} = \text{span}(x)$ & $\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \|x\|$

(b) Set $A = \sup_{\|\varphi\|=1} |\varphi(x)|$.

$$\text{Then } A \leq \sup_{\|\varphi\|=1} \|\varphi\| \|x\| = \|x\|.$$

Conversely, let $\hat{\varphi}_x$ be the functional s.t. $\|\hat{\varphi}_x\|=1$ & $\hat{\varphi}_x(x)=\|x\|$

$$\text{Then } A \geq |\hat{\varphi}_x(x)| = |\|x\|| = \|x\|.$$

(c) If $x \neq y$, $\exists \varphi \in \mathcal{X}^*$ s.t. $\varphi(x-y) = \|x-y\| \neq 0$.

Then $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(y)$

(d) It is obvious that $F_x \in \mathcal{X}^{**}$ since $|F_x(\varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|x\|$. (*)

It is simple to prove that the map $x \mapsto F_x$ is linear.

It remains to prove isometry.

$$(*) \Rightarrow \|F_x\| \leq \|x\|$$

Conversely, let φ_x be the element from (c) s.t.

$$\|\varphi_x\|=1 \quad \& \quad \varphi_x(x)=\|x\|. \text{ Then}$$

$$\|F_x\| = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}^*} |\varphi(x)| \geq |\varphi_x(x)| = \|x\|.$$

Let \mathbb{X} be a NLS.

Set $\hat{\mathbb{X}} = \{f_x : x \in \mathbb{X}\}$.

Then (i) $\hat{\mathbb{X}} \subseteq \mathbb{X}^{**}$ a Banach space
(ii) $\hat{\mathbb{X}}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{X} .

Thus, the topological closure $\bar{\hat{\mathbb{X}}}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{X}}$ in \mathbb{X}^{**}
is isomorphic to the completion $\bar{\mathbb{X}}$ of \mathbb{X} .

This is an alternative way of defining the ~~closure~~ completion of \mathbb{X} .

(Does not work for general metric spaces.)

WEAK CONVERGENCE

Def' Let \mathbb{X} be a Banach space.

We say that x_n converges weakly to x , $x_n \rightharpoonup x$,
if $\varphi(x_n) \rightarrow \varphi(x) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^*$.

(The weak topology is the smallest topology which all $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}^*$
are continuous. A subbase is given by the sets

$$B_\varepsilon^\varphi(x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{X} : |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| < \varepsilon\} \quad \varepsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{X}, \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^*$$

Example $\mathbb{X} = l^2(\mathbb{N})$ $e^{(n)}$ canonical basis.

Then $e^{(n)} \rightharpoonup 0$.

To prove this, pick $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}^*$.

We know $\exists j \in \mathbb{X}$ s.t. $\varphi(x) = \langle x, j \rangle \quad \forall x$.

Then $\varphi(e^{(n)}) = \langle e^{(n)}, j \rangle = j_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Funny consequence: Set $S = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \|x\| = 1\}$.

Then $e^{(n)} \in S \quad \forall n, \quad e^{(n)} \rightarrow 0, \text{ so}$

$$0 \in \overline{S}^w = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \exists x_n \in S \text{ s.t. } x_n \xrightarrow{w} x\}$$

↑ Closure of S in weak topology.

In fact: $\overline{S}^w = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$

So the surface of the unit ball is dense in the unit ball!
(Homework!)

Thm If $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$, then $\|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Proof}} \quad \|x\| &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|=1} |\varphi(x)| = \sup_{\|\varphi\|=1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(x_n)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\sup_{\|\varphi\|=1} |\varphi(x_n)|}_{= \|x_n\|} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Discuss the fact that B is compact; how weak topologies are useful in existence proofs, etc.

Prop' Let \mathbb{X} be a ~~not~~ Banach space, let $S \subseteq \mathbb{X}$, and set

$$\overline{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \exists x_n \in S \text{ s.t. } x_n \rightarrow x\}$$

$$\overline{S}^w = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \exists x_n \in S \text{ s.t. } x_n \xrightarrow{w} x\}$$

(i) $\overline{S} \subseteq \overline{S}^w$ always.

(ii) If S is a linear space, then $\overline{S} = \overline{S}^w$

Proof: (i) Pick $x \in \overline{S}$. Then $\exists x_n \in S$ s.t. $x_n \rightarrow x$.

But then $x_n \rightarrow x$ so $x \in \overline{S}^w$

(ii) Homework

Now let us consider the space \mathcal{X}^* . We already know two types of conv:

$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in norm if $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\text{weak}} \varphi$ weakly if $F(\varphi_n) \rightarrow F(\varphi)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $\forall F \in \mathcal{X}^{**}$

We define a third mode of convergence:

$\varphi_n \xrightarrow{*} \varphi$ weak-* if $\varphi_n(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\Leftrightarrow F_x(\varphi_n) \rightarrow F_x(\varphi) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Since $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X}^{**}$, weak-* is a weaker topology than the weak one.

If \mathcal{X} is reflexive, $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}^{**}$, then weak & weak-* topologies are the same.

Hahn-Banach \Rightarrow weak-* top is always Hausdorff.

The weak-* topology is very useful due to the following fact.

Set $S^* = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}^* : \|\varphi\| \leq 1\}$. It is very desirable that S^* be compact

S^* is compact in the ~~strong~~ norm top $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is finite-dimensional

S^* is compact in the weak top $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is reflexive ~~by H-B theorem~~
~~because it is the weak top~~

S^* is compact in the weak-* top \Leftarrow Always!

Thm Let \mathcal{X} be a NLS.

Alaoglu's thm ~~The set $S^* = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}^* : \|\varphi\| \leq 1\}$ is closed.~~

Let S^* denote the closed unit ball in \mathcal{X}^* .

Then S^* is a compact Hausdorff space in the weak-* topology.

Thm Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space and let S denote its closed unit ball.

Then S is compact in the weak top $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is reflexive.