## Homework set 2 — APPM5440, Fall 2016

**Problem 1.8:** Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , let C denote the set of cluster points of  $(x_n)$ . Set  $M = \sup C, y_k = \sup \{x_n : n \ge k\}$  and recall that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \lim_{k \to \infty} y_k.$$

Show that C is closed: We will prove that C is complete. (Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, C is closed iff it is complete.) Let  $(c_j)$  be a Cauchy sequence in C, and set  $c = \lim c_n$ . We need to prove that  $c \in C$ . Set  $n_0 = 0$ . Then for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , pick an  $n_j$  such that  $n_{j-1} < n_j$  and  $|c_j - x_{n_j}| < 1/j$  (this is possible since each  $c_j$  is the limit of a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ ). Then

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} |c - x_{n_j}| \le \limsup_{j \to \infty} \left( |c - c_j| + |c_j - x_{n_j}| \right) \le \limsup_{j \to \infty} \left( |c - c_j| + 1/j \right) = 0.$$

So  $x_{n_j} \to c$ , and therefor  $c \in C$ .

Show that  $\limsup x_n \ge \max C$ : Since  $M \in C$ , we know there exists a sequence  $(x_{n_j})$  such that  $x_{n_j} \to M$ . Then for any k, we have

 $y_k = \sup\{x_n : n \ge k\} \ge \sup\{x_{n_i} : n_j \ge k\} \ge M.$ 

Now take the limsup as  $k \to \infty$  to get the desired inequality.

Show that  $\limsup x_n \leq \max C$ : Pick any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We know that for some k, we have

$$y_k \leq M + d$$

(since if this were not true, then  $(x_n)$  would have at least one cluster point larger than M). Take the limsup as  $k \to \infty$  to show that  $\limsup x_n \leq M + \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we are done.

## **Problem 1.10:** Prove that

(1) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\alpha} x_{n,\alpha} \le \inf_{\alpha} \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_{n,\alpha}$$

and that

(2) 
$$\sup_{\alpha} \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_{n,\alpha} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\alpha} x_{n,\alpha},$$

Solution: Set  $y_n = \inf_{\alpha} x_{n,\alpha}$ . Then clearly

 $y_n \leq x_{n,\alpha}, \quad \forall \alpha.$ 

Take the limsup of both sides:

 $\limsup y_n \le \limsup x_{n,\alpha}, \qquad \forall \alpha.$ 

Finally take the infimum over  $\alpha$ , nothing that  $\limsup y_n$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ :

 $\limsup y_n \le \inf_{\alpha} \limsup x_{n,\alpha}.$ 

This relation is (1).

To prove (2), analogously set  $z_n = \sup_{\alpha} x_{n,\alpha}$ . Then  $x_{n,\alpha} \leq z_n$  for all  $\alpha$ . Take the limit to get lim inf  $x_{n,\alpha} \leq \liminf z_n$ , and finally take the sup over  $\alpha$  to get (2).

**Problem 2:** Suppose that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are Cauchy sequences in a metric space (X, d). Prove that the sequence  $(d(x_n, y_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges.

Solution: Set  $\alpha_m = d(x_m, y_m)$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, all we need to prove is that  $(\alpha_m)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Fix any two natural integers m and n. Via two applications of the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$d(x_m, y_m) \le d(x_m, x_n) + d(x_n, y_m) \le d(x_m, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_m).$$

It follows that

(3) 
$$d(x_m, y_m) - d(x_n, y_n) \le d(x_m, x_n) + d(y_n, y_m).$$

An analogous argument shows that

(4) 
$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \le d(x_m, x_n) + d(y_n, y_m).$$

Together, (3) and (4) imply that

(5) 
$$|d(x_m, y_m) - d(x_n, y_n)| \le d(x_m, x_n) + d(y_m, y_n).$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy, there exist  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that

(6) 
$$m, n \ge N_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon/2,$$

(7) 
$$m, n \ge N_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(y_m, y_n) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Set  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . Then (5), (6), (7) imply that

$$m, n \ge N \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad |\alpha_m - \alpha_n| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$